**119471 Practical Workplace Logbook**

Attach the completed workplace logbook and workbook behind this page

**Acknowledgment of Receipt**

I \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Learner) acknowledge receipt of my Workplace assignment workbook on this the

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_\_\_

The process of on-the-job training has been explained to me.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Learner

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Facilitator/Mentor/Supervisor:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Facilitator/Mentor/Supervisor

**Workplace Assignment 119471**

**Workplace Project**

**Assessment Activity 1.1**

During your pre-training research assignment, you were requested to:

Choose any one of the following organisations: a government department or a manufacturing company and do research about the following:

Communication channels in the organisation

Communication resources such as telephone, fax, computers

Technological resources used by the organisation, such as computers

What multilingual needs exist in the organisation?

Use your research and answer the questions below:

1. If you had to do the research again, what learning resources will you use? Name at least two.
2. Design a questionnaire with at least six questions that you will use when interviewing employees and employers. The questions should give you information as required regarding communication and technology.
3. Now that you know how to do research, plan the research for the same assignment.
4. Indicate how you will gather information. List at least two techniques.
5. From your research assignment, classify and sort your information in writing.
6. Summarise your findings.
7. Write a report about your findings. Your headings should include at least: communication strategies, communication resources, technological resources, multilingual needs of the organisation as well as a conclusion of how these impacts on the occupational learning of employees.

**Assessment Activity 1.2**

1. Complete the following statement:
2. Explain the purpose of an Index in a text book
3. Explain the purpose of a contents page in a text book
4. You have come across the term “blogs” while discussing Information Technology with a client. How will you find out what it means?
5. Explain how what you have learnt about teamwork can help you in the workplace. List at least five aspects in your explanation.
6. Explain how sharing responsibilities for the tasks of the group has helped you to understand the learning material and the activities.
7. Explain how working in a group has helped you personally to manage conflict with other people. What have you learnt about conflict and how to manage it?
8. Do you think working in teams helps the team and the organisation to achieve goals? Motivate your answer.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Logbook 119471** | | | | | | |
| **Date** | **Assignment No** | | **Start** | | **Finish** | **Total Hours** |
| *e.g.*  *12/9/2014* | *1* | | *10:00* | | *14:00* | *4* |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  | |  |  |
| Date | Learner Signature | Date | | Mentor/Supervisor Signature | | |
|  |  |  | |  | | |

**Indirect Evidence**

Indirect Evidence is evidence produced about the learner from another source. This is usually in the form of reports of third party sources, i.e. sources other than the assessor.

Indirect evidence can be used to verify the authenticity of other forms of evidence. In addition, it may be necessary to corroborate these forms of evidence.

Sources of indirect evidence include:

Team outputs

Work completed at an earlier stage

Performance appraisals

Training records

Testimonials

Reviews and commendations

Certificates and qualifications

Medals, prizes and trophies

Customer / client ratings

Please attach any indirect evidence you may have on the required outcomes within the PoE behind this page.

**Declaration Of Authenticity Of Evidence**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| I (Initials and Surname) | |
| ID No: | |
| declare/certify that the learning activities completed in the Learner Activity Workbook in its entirety is my own original and authentic work (interpreter declaration to be completed where necessary) I acknowledge that should it come to the attention/reported to the Training Provider/ SETA or relevant authorities, and there is sufficient evidence to prove that there is an irregularity regarding the authenticity of this submission the necessary steps will be taken against me which can result in one or more of the following decisions being taken: | |
| A criminal case being opened,  Learner achievement certificate cancelled, withdrawn  Non processing of Learner Achievement submissions to the SETA pending the outcome of an investigation  De-registration as an Assessor/Moderator (where unauthorised assistance is provided by the Assessor/Facilitator)  Investigation into the accreditation status of the Training Provider if there is an irregularity on the part of the Training Provider | |
| I know and understand the contents of this declaration: I have no objection to signing the prescribed declaration. The declaration was also explained to me by the Training Provider/Facilitator | |
| Signature of Learner: | Date |
| Signature of Facilitator/Assessor: | Date |

**Evidence Locator & Sign-off 119471**

| Evidence required  (Evidence required to support the practical components of the specific outcomes & assessment criteria, expressed in the context of the assessment)  U/S 119471 | Sources of evidence  (where/how the assessor can find the evidence) |  | | **Assessor’s comments in support of judgement**  **(where required)** | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **✓** | **X** |  |
| SO1, AC1  Relevant learning resources are identified Resource centres, general texts, printed and visual media, internet, other people | Project 1 |  |  |  |
| SO1, AC2  Learning resources are used effectively through appropriate selection of information and acknowledgement of sources | Project 2 |  |  |  |
| SO2, AC1  Information is summarised and used for learning purposes | Project 5 |  |  |  |
| SO2, AC2  Specific techniques are selected and applied appropriately: Group activities such as brainstorming, group analysis, peer and self-assessment mind maps, note taking, memorising, key words, underlining, skimming and scanning | Project 3 |  |  |  |
| SO2, AC3  Relevant questions are asked: Checking understanding, clarifying meaning, getting information, confirming accuracy of information | Project 2 |  |  |  |
| SO2, AC4  Texts are read/viewed for detail, interpreted and analysed for a given context | Project 4 |  |  |  |
| SO2, AC5  Spoken/signed input is listened to/viewed for detail, interpreted and analysed for a given context | Project 4 |  |  |  |
| SO2, AC6  Learning takes place through communicating with others in groups or as individuals: Facilitators, other learners, colleagues | Project |  |  |  |
| SO3, AC1  Occupational learning materials are organized for efficient use: Videos, internet, texts, handouts, textbooks, charts, maps, plans, diagrams | Project 1.2 |  |  |  |
| SO3, AC2  Layout and presentation of learning materials are understood and used effectively: Index, contents page, glossaries, electronic texts | Project |  |  |  |
| SO3, AC3  Technical language/ terminology is engaged with, and clarification sought if needed | Project 1.2.4 |  |  |  |
| SO4, AC1  Appropriate or relevant topic and scope is identified and defined | Project 1-7 |  |  |  |
| SO4, AC2  Research steps are planned and sequenced appropriately | Project 1-7 |  |  |  |
| SO4, AC3  Research techniques are applied: Gathering information, reading/viewing, interviewing, observing, using appropriate electronic sources | Project 1-7 |  |  |  |
| SO4, AC4  Information is evaluated for relevance | Project 1-7 |  |  |  |
| SO4, AC5  Information is classified, categorized and sorted | Project 1-7 |  |  |  |
| SO4, AC6  Research findings are analysed and presented in the appropriate format | Project 1-7 |  |  |  |
| SO5, AC1  Active participation takes place in group learning situations: Meetings, site/field visits, excursions, discussions, activities, workshops | Project1.2.5 |  |  |  |
| SO5, AC2  Responsibilities in the team are taken up and group work conventions are applied in learning situations: Turn taking, rotation of roles: conducting, chairing, recording, reporting | Project 1.2.6 |  |  |  |
| SO5, AC3  Conflict management and negotiating techniques are practised in a defined context | Project 1.2.5 |  |  |  |
| SO5, AC4  Team work results in meaningful product or outcomes: Reaching consensus, completed tasks | Project 1.2.5 - 7 |  |  |  |
| SO6, AC1  Sector and organisation type is identified: Sector/occupational focus: Services, manufacturing, financial, educational, etc. Organisation type: Government, parastatal, heavy/light industry, large organisation, small business | Workplace workbook |  |  |  |
| SO6, AC2  Features of the occupational environment are described and discussed | Workplace workbook |  |  |  |
| SO6, AC3  Ways in which these features affect learning processes and/or application of learning are described and discussed | Workplace workbook |  |  |  |

**Record Of Learning**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Candidate's Name: | | | | ID No |  | |
| Assessor's Name: | | | | Ass. Reg. No |  | |
| Moderator's Name: | | | | Mod. Reg. No |  | |
| Date: | | | |  | | |
| UNIT STANDARD | NQF LEVEL | CREDITS | DATE OF COMPLETION | SIGNATURE OF ASSESSOR | | SIGNATURE OF MODERATOR |
| 119471 | 4 | 5 |  |  | |  |

**ASSESSMENT REVIEW**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NAME of LEARNER** | | | | | **NAME of ASSESSOR** | | | | |
| **VENUE** | | | | | **DATE of REVIEW** | | | | |
| **UNIT STANDARD** | | 119471 Use language and communication in occupational learning programs | | | | | | | |
| **Review Dimension** | | | | **ASSESSOR** | | **LEARNER/**  **CANDIDATE** | | **ACTION** | |
| The principles/criteria for good assessment were achieved? | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| The assessment related to the registered unit standard? | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| The assessment was practical? | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| It was time efficient and cost-effective and did not interfere with my normal responsibilities? | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| The assessment instruments were fair, clear and understandable | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| The assessment judgements was made against set requirements | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| The venue and equipment was functional? | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| Special needs were identified and the assessment plan was adjusted | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| Feedback was constructive against the evidence required | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| An opportunity to appeal was given | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| The evidence was recorded | | | | Agree  Disagree | | Agree  Disagree | |  | |
| **LEARNER’S DECLARATION OF UNDERSTANDING** | | | | | | | | | |
| I am aware of the moderation process and understand that the moderator could declare the assessment decision invalid | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | |  | | | | |  | |
| **Learner** | **Date** | | **Assessor** | | | | **Date** | **Moderator** | **Date** |

**Assessor Review**

Assessors must review the assessment process by completing this document. Please attach any additional information if required.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | | **YES** | **NO** |
| Was the assessment preparation adequate? | |  |  |
| Was the learner informed of the assessment and policies? | |  |  |
| Design/prepare the assessment tools & - documentation according to ETQA and company QMS correct? | |  |  |
| Integration into work or learning: Was the assessment as unobtrusive as possible? | |  |  |
| Was maximum use made of naturally occurring events & readily available evidence? | |  |  |
| Systematic Process: Was the assessment process properly planned & structured? | |  |  |
| Involvement of the learner: Was the learner involved throughout the assessment process? | |  |  |
| Did the learners contribute to the planning of assessment & the collection of evidence? | |  |  |
| Open: Did the learners understand the assessment process and the criteria, which apply? | |  |  |
| Environment: A supportive, non-threatening environment is created for assessment. | |  |  |
| Was the assessment Valid? | |  |  |
| Was the assessment Reliable? | |  |  |
| Was the assessment Consistent? | |  |  |
| Was the assessment Authentic? | |  |  |
| Was the assessment Sufficient? | |  |  |
| Was the assessment Current? | |  |  |
| Was the feedback given? | |  |  |
| Completed the result of the assessment according to the requirements of the organization and/or employer, as well as the relevant ETQA. | |  |  |
| Records & assessment instruments have to be kept for quality assurance purposes, as well as possible appeals. | |  |  |
| What did you as assessor do well? | | | |
| What did you as assessor not do well? | | | |
| Did you identify any weaknesses in the design of the assessment? If so, suggest improvements | | | |
| Quality of the unit standard: is it fit for the purpose it was designed for? If not, please make suggestions for improvements | | | |
| Additional comments | | | |
|  | | | |
|  | | | |
|  | | | |
|  | | | |
| Assessor signature | Date | | |

**Candidate Feedback Report**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Candidate's Name |  | | | | ID No. |  |
| Assessor's Name |  | | | | Reg. No. |  |
| Unit Standard Title | 119471 Use language and communication in occupational learning programs | | | | | |
| **Assessment Decision** | | | | | | |
| Source of Evidence | | C | NYC | Comments | | |
| Assessments | |  |  |  | | |
| Product | |  |  |  | | |
| Indirect Evidence | |  |  |  | | |
| Overall Assessment Decision | | | |  | | |
| Additional Notes | | | |  | | |
| Date | | | |  | | |
|  | | | |  | | |
| Signature of Assessor | | | | Signature of Candidate | | |

**Candidate Appeal Form**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Candidate's Name: | | ID No. |  |
| Assessor's Name: | | Reg. No. |  |
| Unit Standard Title: | 119471 Use language and communication in occupational learning programs | | |
| Date: | |  | |
| **SECTION 1** | |  | |
| Candidate's reason for disagreeing with the assessment decision |  | | |
| Assessor's rationale for the assessment decision |  | | |
| Candidate's signature |  | | |
| Assessor's signature |  | | |
| **SECTION 2** | | | |
| Internal Moderator’s reconsidered decision and rationale |  | | |
| Internal Moderator's Signature |  | | |
| Advising Assessor’s Signature |  | | |
| Decision and rationale of the investigatory panel |  | | |
| Learner Declaration | The above decisions have been explained to me and I accept the assessment decision | | |
| Learner’s Signature |  | | |
| Date |  | | |

Please send this form to: The Training Provider

**Assessor's Report 119471**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Candidate's Name |  | | | | | ID No. |  |
| Assessor's Name |  | | | | | Reg. No. |  |
| Unit Standard Title | 119471 Use language and communication in occupational learning programs | | | | | | |
| **ASSESSMENT DECISION** | | | | | | | |
| Specific Outcome | | C | | NYC | Comments | | |
| Access, use and manage suitable learning resources | |  | |  |  | | |
| Formulate and use learning strategies | |  | |  |  | | |
| Manage occupational learning materials | |  | |  |  | | |
| Conduct basic research and analyse and present findings | |  | |  |  | | |
| Lead and function in a team | |  | |  |  | | |
| Reflect on how characteristics of the workplace and occupational context affect learning | |  | |  |  | | |
| Overall Assessment Decision | | | | |  | | |
| Comments | | | | |  | | |
| Date | | | | |  | | |
|  | | |  | | | | |
| Signature of Assessor | | | Signature of Candidate | | | | |

**Moderator's Report 119471**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Moderator's Name |  | | | | Reg. No. | | |  |
| Assessor's Name |  | | | | Reg. No. | | |  |
| Candidate's Name |  | | | | ID No. | | |  |
| Unit Standard Title | 119471 Use language and communication in occupational learning programs | | | | | | | |
| **MODERATION DECISION** | | | | | | | | |
| Specific Outcome | | | C | NYC | | | Comments | |
| Access, use and manage suitable learning resources | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Formulate and use learning strategies | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Manage occupational learning materials | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Conduct basic research and analyse and present findings | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Lead and function in a team | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Reflect on how characteristics of the workplace and occupational context affect learning | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Overall Moderation Decision | | | | | |  | | |
| Feedback to Assessor | | | | | |  | | |
| Action Required | | | | | |  | | |
| Date of Moderation | | | | | |  | | |
| Signature of Moderator | |  | | | | | | |
| Signature of Assessor | |  | | | | | | |
| Signature of Candidate | |  | | | | | | |

**MODERATION**

**Moderation Of Assessments Must Be Planned In Order To:**

Identify the outcomes as per unit standards

Identify the evidence to be collected

Identify steps of a logical process

Design an appropriate assessment (criteria and tool)

Review success or adjustments to be made to the assessments

Provide appropriate feedback and set targets and action plans

**Pre-Assessment Moderation**

This occurs prior to assessment taking place and includes moderation of:

Assessor suitability/qualifications

Assessment guidelines which are explained to all assessors in bi-weekly meetings

Standardised assessment tools which are reviewed in assessor meetings

Guidelines for organising evidence (see Portfolio of Evidence guidelines)

Assessor/candidate appeals process

The assessor must consult with the moderator to ensure that the assessment instrument is valid, reliable and practicable. The moderation model will be the assessor moderator comparison, so as to ensure that the assessment instrument is fit for purpose and that the assessment plan is adequate in order to achieve the outcomes of the assessment process.

**Post Assessment Moderation**

Post-assessment moderation must take place at the end of the assessment process, once feedback has been given to the candidate.

Post-assessment moderation must check specifically that the evidence on which the decision of competence is based is valid, authentic, current and sufficient. Until post-assessment moderation has taken place, the assessment process is incomplete, as there is a chance that the moderator may disagree with the assessor regarding the decision reached in terms of competence.

Even so, the candidate needs to be cautioned that external moderation/verification needs to take place prior to candidate achievement being confirmed and recorded on the National Candidate Record Database.

The focus in post-assessment moderation is also to address continuous improvement of assessment activities and tools. The moderator needs to critically evaluate the review process and ensure that candidate consultation in the review process was both meaningful and constructive i.e. avoid simplistic yes/no questions which give little qualitative data.

25% of all assessment sampling across the board is moderated. The samples are representative of assessments conducted by each assessor and for each project